FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/09/2014 12:05 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 66

INDEX NO. 652382/2014

1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/09/2014

1 2 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK: TRIAL TERM PART 60 3 In the matter of the Application of 4 U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON TRUST COMPANY, N.A., WILMINGTON TRUST 6 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, LAW DEBENTURE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK, WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, HSBC BANK USA, N.A., AND DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, 8 (as trustess under various Pooling and 9 Servicing Agreements and indenture trustees under varioudd Indentures), 10 INDEX NO. Petitioners, 11 652382/14 12 for an order, pursuant to CPLR 7701, seeking judicial instruction. 13 Defendant. 14 15 60 Centre Street New York, New York 16 August 4, 2014 17 PROCEEDINGS 18 **BEFORE:** 19 HONORABLE MARCY FRIEDMAN, Justice 20 21 APPEARANCES: 22 23 JONES DAY Attorneys for U.S. Bank 222 East 41st Street 24 New York, New York 10017-670 25 BY: ROBERT C. MICHELETTO, ESQ. 26

Bonnie Piccirillo - Official Court Reporter

1	Appearaances
2	MAYER BROWN
3	Attorneys for The Bank of New York Mellon and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A.
4	1675 Broadway New York, New York 10019
5	BY: MATTHEW D. INGBER, ESQ.  JARMAN D. RUSSELL, ESQ.
6	IAN J. ZACK, ESQ.
7	
8	ALSON & BIRD LLP Attorneys for Wilmington Trust
9	90 Park Avenue New York, New York 10016
10	BY: CHRISTINA SPILLER, ESQ.
11	SEWARD & KISSELL LLP
12	Attorneys for Law Debenture and Wells Fargo One Battery Park Plaza
13	New York, New York 10004 BY: DALE C. CHRISTENSEN, ESQ.
14	
15	MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Deutsche Banke National Trust Co.
16	101 Park Avenue New York, New York 10178-0060
17	BY: KURT W. RADEMACHER, ESQ.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Bonnie Piccirillo Official Court Reporter
24	
25	
26	H

1	Proceedings
2	
3	THE COURT: On the record.
4	May I have counsels' names without full
5	appearances, please.
6	We'll start on the right, and just go around the
7	table.
8	MR. CHRISTENSEN: Dale Christensen from Seward &
9	Kissel, counsel for Law Debenture, Petitioner, and also
10	special appearance today for Wells Fargo.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	MR. RADEMACHER: Kurt Rademacher from Morgan Lewi
13	& Bockius for Deutche Bank.
14	MS. SPILLER: Christina Spiller from for
15	Wilmington Trust.
16	MR. INGBER: Good morning, your Honor. Matthew
17	Ingber from Mayer Brown for Bank of New York Mellon.
18	MR. MICHELETTO: Robert Micheletto from Jones Day
19	on behalf of Petitioner, US Bank.
20	MR. ZACK: Ian Zack from Mayer Brown for HSBC.
21	THE COURT: Do I understand correctly that all of
22	the counsel here are either representing the various
23	Trustees who are petitioners or are representing investors
24	who support the settlement for which the Trustees are
25	seeking approval?
26	MR. MICHELETTO: Your Honor, if I may, all of

Bonnie Piccirillo - Official Court Reporter

1 2

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

Proceedings

counsel seated at this table are counsel for the Trustees. There are no counsel at this table who represent any of the investors.

THE COURT: But there are in the room counsel for some of the investors?

MR. MICHELETTO: I believe there's counsel for some of the investors who are observing these proceedings this morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: But there are no counsel for investors who may be opposing the settlement, is that correct?

MR. MICHELETTO: That is correct, I believe, your Honor unless there's somebody here; but I think your Honor is exactly right.

THE COURT: And it does not appear that you are seeking any interim relief in this order to show cause. I confess, I looked at it fairly quickly before coming down to the courtroom --

MR. MICHELETTO: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay, is there anything that any of the counsel wants to be heard on today? Ordinarily, counsel don't appear unless there is a request for interim relief.

MR. MICHELETTO: I mean, I think -- and I'll be I think we brought this as an order to show cause to get this process started, if you will, your Honor, and 

## Proceedings

to get your Honor's approval for the proposed notice protocol that's contained in the original cause by which we intend to give as fulsome a notice as possible to interested certificate holders; such that they are advised of these proceedings and advised of the opportunity for them to come in and participate in these proceedings by way of intervention or otherwise in support of the petition filed by the Trustees or in opposition to the petition.

So that all interested investors who wish to participate in these proceedings can be heard by this Court. This will enable the Court to make the ultimate determination on the relief requested in the petition, which is our Trustee's request that the Court approve the Trustee's acceptance in the settlement that was negotiated between JP Morgan and certain of the Institutional Investors.

THE COURT: Do any of the other counsel have anything on which they wish to be heard today?

I'm not hearing anything, so this is how we will proceed.

I would like moving counsel to submit one brief of no more than five pages addressing the notice that should be given to potentially interested parties and setting forth any legal authority in connection with that issue that may exist.

## 

## Proceedings

I would like to have that brief e-filed with two hard copies to the Clerk of this part by, let's say, a week from today. If you can get it to me sooner, I will look at it sooner, but a week from today would be fine.

So that would be August 11th; and as soon as I have seen the brief and had a chance to look at it and to carefully read the petition, I will decide on the notice provisions and will get going with this petition.

So, I don't think there will be any need for a further appearance.

Did you have something, counsel?

MR. INGBER: May I just ask a question about the brief, your Honor?

We'll certainly address the notice protocol in the brief; but is your Honor asking us to lay out authority for the proposition that the notice program that is contemplated by the order to show cause comports with due process? I just was looking for clarity on.

THE COURT: I just want to make sure that I'm giving notice in the way that Article 77 requires notice to be given; and I also want to be sure that I'm giving notice to all potentially interested parties, because the approval of this settlement obviously affects the rights or whether to approve this settlement affects the rights of many existing and potential litigants.

Proceedings 1 So, I'd like you to explain to me in the brief why 2 3 you think this is sufficient and submit any legal authority on the standards for giving notice. 4 MR. INGBER: That's very helpful. Thank you. 5 THE COURT: We just want to be very fair to make 6 sure that everybody who should have notice gets notice. 7 MR. INGBER: Thank you for that clarification, and 8 I think we all agree that we want to make sure this notice 9 program is sufficient to give notice to all of the 10 interested parties here. That's the goal of this 11 12 proceeding. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. We'll close the record for 13 14 today's proceedings. 15 CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE 16 AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT 17 18 ÖFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26